

SOME QUESTIONS FOR BIBLIANS AND CHRISTIANS

Opening Questions:

- Should a sincere person who is seeking to understand Joshua of Nazareth use Joshua's own words and deeds to understand him, or other's people's opinions to follow him?
- Who understood Joshua best – Paul and the other New Testament writers or Joshua himself?
- Who understood Joshua best – Moses and the other Hebrew scripture authors or Joshua himself?
- Who understood the Creator better – those who had never been with the Creator, or the One who was with the Creator and sent from the Creator?

If God exists and He wants humans to know Him so He can help us and so we can know what He wants for us, would it not be of primary importance to understand how to accomplish that?

Most Christians (and all Biblians) claim that a person needs "the Bible" as the source of knowledge to know God. They are only partially correct, and their understanding of the Bible is wholly incorrect. They are partly right because the Light we need to know God *has been placed/hidden in the Bible in four small books* towards the back of the book. They are wholly incorrect in thinking that the authors of the other sixty-two books of the protestant Bible had true insight and understanding of God. The simple truth is that all authors of the Bible's books did *not* have a full or proper understanding of our Creator Father—we can know that because the Light tells us so!

It is also a simple truth that there was One Man who did have a full and correct understanding of God, and he is the **subject** of the four “gospel” books of Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John. He was not the author of the books, but rather the authors were with him and saw him and knew him - or knew those who were with him and knew him - and wrote down what he said and did in those four books.

Many Biblians and Christians are very offended when a disciple of the Light says that we only listen to the Light to understand our Father. They are angry because our belief contradicts their belief about a crucial issue - how we can know God and what God wants.

The fundamental error of Biblians (and most Christians are Biblians) is to believe that the sixty-six *authors* of the “protestant Bible” and their writings, views, statements, and opinions about God revealed God as well as the Person and words of Joshua/Jesus of Nazareth. They believe this because Biblians believe Paul's *scripture beliefs* and thus they look to the scripture to understand God instead of to Jesus/Joshua of Nazareth.

In contrast and contradiction to Paul, Jesus/Joshua says look to *him and him alone* to know and understand God. The quotes below will verify this claim. In other words, the Biblians/Christians use *Paul's teaching* to nullify the plain teachings of the Light of the world (Ironically, Paul's saying in 2 Tim. 3 - "All scripture is inspired by God..." - does not address what would later be called “the new testament” but is constrained to the Hebrew scripture).

Please note that Joshua/Jesus could indeed have told his original disciples that a book would be compiled one day that would reveal and represent God. The fact is he both did *not* say that, and he said many things contrary to that as we shall see below.

It is brilliant to hide the four eyewitness recordings of Joshua's life and teachings (the four books that contain Joshua's words/teachings/truths) in a large book, which book contains many statements that contradict Joshua's statements. To then say *that book* is "God's Word" to humanity completes the clever deception. It is difficult for people to distinguish between “the book/Bible” at this point, and the **subject** of the four gospel books, which books were placed in the larger book, the Bible. However, as I try and remind people regularly, Joshua/Jesus is not “the Bible” and his Words Alone - not all the other authors of the Bible books and their opinions about God - are the Words that lead to Life!

Biblians and Christians looking to defend their Bible belief against the Person and words of Joshua of Nazareth often ask, “But where do you read Jesus” words from?” Of course they want me to say, “the Bible”. The simple truth is that I have access to the words and deeds of the historical Person of Joshua of Nazareth from the four gospel books of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John, which four books were placed in the compiled book people call “the Bible”. To make the distinction clear to a Biblian I make the following argument:

1. I inform the Biblian that I recently published a book that just contains the four gospel books (<http://www.amazon.com/Light-World-Teachings-Jesus-Nazareth/dp/0692300481>);

2. I ask the Biblian, "If I hand my book to someone, are they able to get to know Jesus of Nazareth by reading my book?" The answer of course is "Yes".

(Of course, some Biblians will argue that a person needs the rest of the Bible to "know Jesus" but that is a fallacious argument from two perspectives. First, that the original source is always best when trying to understand an issue related to the original source. Second, Joshua of Nazareth himself says that people ought to look only to him to understand him and the Father he said he represents.)

3. My final question is, "Is my book 'the Bible'?" The answer of course is "No" thus proving that "the Bible" is not necessary to hear from and get to know the One who says, "I am the way, the truth and the life".

Therefore, one does not need "the Bible" to get to know the historical person of Jesus or Joshua of Nazareth!

So, for you Biblians and most Christians, I ask that instead of condemning me, you use reason well to answer the following questions in a simple, straightforward manner.

Joshua says, "*If you continue in MY word (teachings, truths), then you are my disciple; and then you shall know the truth, and the truth will set you free.*" John 8:31-32

1. Whose words? Paul's? Moses'? Contemporary Preachers? Bible college professors? Popular author or theologians? "The scriptures"? "The Bible"?
2. By definition, to be a disciple/student/pupil of Joshua of Nazareth means he is our Master, and thus he alone defines what is true and real regarding God, spiritual things and human nature. He says IF we continue in HIS words (no one else's) *only* then are we his disciple/student/pupil which makes perfect sense; and by remaining in only *his* words, a person will be set free. Thus, if we listen to others teachings and continue in other's teachings, why would we think we will be set free?
3. All the truth someone needs to be set free is found in the Person and words of Joshua of Nazareth, so why would you be looking to others if you want to be set free? Stated another way, who or what else can set you free? "The Bible" with all the God-justified fear/hatred and violence? How exactly can a book containing hundreds of core-level contradictions about God set a person free?
4. His word includes, "*love your enemies*," a clear principle for ordering our lives. So, when a Biblian says, "oh, but the Bible says...", and they turn to some other voice (passages like 1 Sam. 15:1-3) to try and convince a disciple that Jesus' Father (or if you are a Trinitarian, that Jesus himself) really does "kill his enemies"; we ask the Biblian to please be set free from rejecting the Light and ignoring or nullifying the Light's teaching of "*love your enemies*".

Joshua says, "*I am the way, the truth, and the life and no one comes to the Father except through me.*" John 14:6

1. Who or what is the way? Paul's? Moses'? Contemporary Preachers? Bible college professors? Popular author or theologians? "The scriptures"? "The Bible"?

2. Who or what is the truth? Paul's? Moses'? Contemporary Preachers? Bible college professors? Popular author or theologians? "The scriptures"? "The Bible"?
3. Who or what is the life? Paul's? Moses'? Contemporary Preachers? Bible college professors? Popular author or theologians? "The scriptures"? "The Bible"?
4. So, if Joshua plainly says that HE (faith in him as he reveals himself by his own words in the four gospels) is the sure way Home, all the Truth that matters, and Life everlasting, then why look to other sources for God understanding?
5. So, when you stand before the King and he asks, "Why did you listen to all those other voices, many of which nullified or contradicted my voice?" "What are you going to say?" "Oh, Lord, they did not nullify or contradict your voice." So, you are going to rebuke the King about his wrong understanding on this matter? Or, "Well, Lord, where is Paul, he will explain it all to you." Poor "Lord Jesus" needs Paul to explain God-understandings to him...

Joshua says, "*Everyone who is of the truth bears MY voice.*" John 18:37

1. Hears whose voice? Paul's? Moses'? Contemporary Preachers? Bible college professors? Popular author or theologians? "The scriptures"? "The Bible"?
2. If I am not "of the truth" (or don't care about what is true) regarding God-things, perhaps I will want to listen to other voices? And if I don't hear his voice, then am I "of the truth"? And if I listen to other voices, then am I not at risk for being deceived, for he does say, "Many will come in my name and deceive many"?
3. If a disciple repeats a word/teaching/truth of the Light and the person who hears it reacts negatively to it and rejects it because it contradicts one of their religious beliefs, is that person "of the truth"?

Joshua says, "*I am the Light of the world; he who follows **me** will not walk in the darkness but will have the Light of life.*" John 8:12

1. Follows who? Paul? Moses? Contemporary Preachers? Bible college professors? Popular author or theologians? "The scriptures"? "The Bible"?

2. Who is the Light of the world? Paul? Moses? Contemporary Preachers? Bible college professors? Popular author or theologians? "The scriptures"? "The Bible"?
3. If I follow Paul (for he does say "imitate me" - 1 Cor. 4:16; Phil. 3:17) for example - or anyone else - then will I not walk in the darkness?
4. Does Joshua teach that we should follow others instead of, or in addition to, him? No, he does not.
5. If in "following Joshua" we make him our Master, how many masters can a man have in one domain? Joshua says, "No one can serve two masters..." (Matt. 6:24) and the context is God-understanding or the God domain.
6. If I am following the Light as he reveals himself by his own words, am I not doing well? And if I am doing well in that regard, why would you want to condemn me?
7. Would "following him" include believing that the Father that Jesus reveals kills people, including thousands of "women, children, and babies" (1 Sam. 15:1-3) when Jesus teaches "*love your enemies*" and "*God so loved the people of the earth...*"? Does not Jesus speak for the Father? Does not believing that Jesus' Father murders people prove that I "walk in the darkness"?

Joshua says, "*He who rejects me and does not receive my sayings, has one who judges him; the word I spoke is what will judge him at the last day.*" John 12:48

1. The word who spoke? Paul? Moses? Contemporary Preacher? Bible college professor? Popular author or theologian? "The Bible"?
2. Does not receive whose sayings? Paul's? Moses? Contemporary Preacher? Popular "pastor"? "The Bible's"?
3. Will Paul or Moses or anyone else's words judge us on the last day? If not, *why listen to them regarding what it takes to pass judgment?* Are they going to improve upon the sinless One's teachings? Are their sayings superior to Joshua's sayings?
4. Joshua says, "*love your enemies*" and Joshua says that he is speaking the Father's words, and logic proves that perfect beings do not change. When you believe the author of 1 Samuel who says Jesus' Father said— "Thus says the Lord of hosts, "I will punish Amalek for what he did to Israel, how he set himself against him on the way while he was

coming up from Egypt. 3 Now go and strike Amalek and utterly destroy all that he has, and do not spare him; but put to death both man and woman, child and infant, ox and sheep, camel and donkey.” 1 Sam. 15:1-3 — are you not *rejecting Joshua's plain saying of "love your enemies"*? Or are you going to do the “theological dance” and play the lawyer and deceive yourself into believing there is no contradiction?

Joshua says, "*Heaven and earth will pass away, but **my** words will not pass away.*"
Matt. 24:35

1. Whose words? Paul's? Moses'? Contemporary Preachers? Bible college professors? Popular author or theologians? “The scriptures”? “The Bible”?
2. So if "my words" mean the words of Joshua of Nazareth, which it surely does, then why would you think that other's words will not pass away?
3. Who else in the Bible makes that claim? And even if they made it, who else defeated death to prove what they said and did was true?
4. If I listen to, memorize, take to heart, etc. words of people other than Joshua of Nazareth, then am I not hanging onto that which will pass away? How will that which will pass away help those who do not pass away?

Joshua says, "*Blessed are the meek and humble*". Matt. 5:3, 5

Paul says:

Since many boast according to the flesh, I will boast also...But in whatever respect anyone else is bold—I speak in foolishness—I am just as bold myself. Are they Hebrews? So am I. Are they Israelites? So am I. Are they descendants of Abraham? So am I. Are they servants of Christ?—I speak as if insane—I more so; in far more labors, in far more imprisonments, beaten times without number, often in danger of death. (2 Cor. 11)

So, it doesn't make sense that an admission to speaking (or writing) foolishly takes away the wrongness of speaking (or writing) foolishly.

1. Can I boast and at the same time be meek and humble?
2. Would God “inspire” words of boasting, conceit, arrogance or other such words/teachings?
3. Would God inspire speaking or writing "foolishness"?

The Father says, "*This is my beloved Son in whom I am well pleased - listen to him.*" Matt. 17:5

1. So, will the heavenly Father will be upset or displeased with a person because they do this—they only listen to Joshua? Are you aware of the Father ever speaking audibly from His dimension at any other time in history to tell us to listen to anyone else?
2. Can you explain to me why our Father would be upset or displeased with a person because they have made His Son their sole/soul standard for knowing Him? Why would God be bothered by having made Joshua one's Master, and Master by definition means we don't look to anyone else to teach us about God? *Please try and keep the sayings of the Light above in mind when answering this.*
3. Also, can you explain why if a person listens only to "the Way, Truth and Life" they would be displeasing to our Father?
4. Can you provide me a quote by Joshua to the effect of, "Listen to others to know and understand my Father"?
5. If our Father would not be upset or displeased with a person who listens only to Joshua to understand Him, why are disciples like myself condemned by Biblians and Christians as "heretics," "cultists" and the like?
6. Would heeding this saying, "Many will come in my name and deceive many" be consistent with listening only to Joshua who elsewhere says, "I am the Way, truth, and life"; or would it be consistent with listening to MANY voices who claim to represent Joshua, like Paul and the millions of religious leaders and people who are like Paul?
7. For those who still cling to the Bible and "the scripture" other than Joshua's words, please provide me with one quote from Joshua where he says something to the effect of, "listen to the Hebrew prophets to understand and know my Father." Even if you do find it (which you will not), how it can be reconciled with "This is eternal life, that they may know you, the only true God, and me (Joshua of Nazareth) whom You have sent."?

So, we have established that looking to "the Bible" instead of to the Person and teachings of The Light of the world is grievous error and seeks to perpetuate the great lie that people need more than Joshua of Nazareth to enter into and remain in eternal life.

In the next chapter, we will take a look at the thing Christian's call “the gospel.”

Chapter Summary:

- Reason and logic - using Joshua's sayings as the standard of truth for understanding God - conclude that Joshua stated that **he** (and now by extension, his recorded words, and deeds) was the best way to know and understand the Creator;
- Joshua plainly said that we should look only to him to know and understand God and that other people/sources should not be relied upon to understand God;
- Joshua taught that we gain eternal life by knowing him (not the Bible) and the Father **he** revealed by his own words and deeds as recorded in the four gospel books;
- Biblians/Christians rely on several fallacies to attempt to defend their belief that the Bible is the word of God to humankind;
- Biblians/Christians reject reason and logic regarding God claims and instead go with their traditions, which traditions largely nullify the teachings of Joshua of Nazareth.

See *Appendix 2: The Bible Conversation* for more information on this topic.